UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

STEVEN J. WILCOX,

Petitioner,

v. Case No. 2:05-CV-197

JERI-ANN SHERRY, HON. GORDON J. QUIST

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation filed by the United States Magistrate Judge in this action on June 4, 2008. The Report and Recommendation was duly served on the parties the same date. No objections have been filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Accordingly, the Court will adopt the Report and Recommendation as the Opinion of this Court.

Under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2), the Court must also determine whether a certificate of appealability should be granted. A certificate should issue if Petitioner has demonstrated a "substantial showing of a denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). The Sixth Circuit has disapproved issuance of blanket denials of a certificate of appealability. *Murphy v. Ohio*, 263 F.3d 466, 467 (6th Cir. 2001). Rather, the district court must "engage in a reasoned assessment of each claim" to determine whether a certificate is warranted. *Id.* at 467. Each issue must be considered under the standards set forth by the Supreme Court in *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 120 S. Ct. 1595 (2000). *Murphy*, 263 F.3d at 467. Consequently, this Court has examined Petitioner's claims under the *Slack* standard.

Under *Slack*, 529 U.S. at 484, 120 S. Ct. at 1604, to warrant a grant of the certificate, "[t]he petitioner must demonstrate that reasonable jurists would find the district court's assessment of the

constitutional claims debatable or wrong." For the reasons set forth in the Report and

Recommendation, the Court finds that reasonable jurists could not find that this Court's dismissal

of Petitioner's claim was debatable or wrong. Thus, the Court will deny Petitioner a certificate of

appealability.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate

Judge, filed June 4, 2008, is approved and adopted as the Opinion of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's habeas corpus petition is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is DENIED by this Court.

This case is **concluded**.

Dated: July 9, 2008

/s/ Gordon J. Quist
GORDON J. QUIST
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE